Conversion from Classical to Robotic Astronomy: The Lowell Observatory 0.8-m Telescope

> Marc W. Buie Southwest Research Institute Boulder, CO

Lawrence H. Wasserman Lowell Observatory

Telescope Site, Anderson Mesa, AZ

Philosophical Approach

Robotic mode is a new option, not a conversion

Observing with no oversight during the night

No image display

Log files are only source of feedback

- Foundation of system is an automaton
- Modular and layered design
- Linux/Solaris platform

No off-site network connectivity required

Ground Rules and Other Constraints

Zero impact allowed on classical observing Reverse is not true, sadly Continue to support instrument changes No longer common, thankfully Essentially no funding or institutional support Do no harm to people or equipment Minimal effort required for operational support

System Overview

One process per system

move – telescope interface layer

roboccd – camera operation

serving queue

Communication via IPC messages

Fast and tight communication

Simple program design

Everything is modular and separate, TCP/IP layer can easily be added as a separate task.

Telescope

Ø0.8-m aperture, Anderson Mesa Station

English-yoke equatorial mount

60°N pointing limit, very stable but tracks poorly
Closed-tube made of aluminum

Very strong temperature/focus relationship
Digital stepper motors, RA/DEC and focus
Ash dome

upper/lower shutter with narrow power pad
Bar code reader for absolute position knowledge

Camera(s)

PCCD (2001-2005)

- Photometrics TH7883 CCD (384x576), 1.3"/pix
- Solution Thermoelectrically cooled: -43°C
- 10-position filter wheel
- NASAcam (2007-present)
 - Seev 2k CCD, 0.45"/pixel
 - Leach Gen3 readout electronics
 - Sector Cryotiger cooling: −112°C
 - Two 10-position filter wheels

Environmental Data

- Davis weather station with server/logger
- Color day-time webcam (90° FOV)
- B/W high-sensitivity night camera (90° FOV)
- Dome CCTV monitoring camera
- Boresight high-sensitivity camera (10x14° FOV)
- Tube, mirror, and dome air temperature

Telescope and Instrument2011



Interesting Lessons Learned

How much real-time analysis?

Peak pixel: x, y, DN, FWHM, aperture flux
 Sky background: mean, standard deviation
 How to focus?

Focus sweep, 1 second, total time needed 1 minute
 No fitting, find best figure-of-merit (peak/flux)
 Timing control – LST is your friend

No automated error recovery

Supervised recovery, only one failure allowed

Interesting Lessons Learned, cont'd

Good log files are critically important

Balance required between too much and too little

- Use good search string markers for use with grep
- Time-tag everything

All machines involved need good time, use ntp

- Standard star scheduling
 - Solution Standard Field at X=2.5
 - Source data around standards

Interesting Lessons Learned, cont'd

- Demand for this system is low at Lowell but interest is growing
 - Inertia, mysterious but consistent with most professional observatories I've worked at
 - Non full-time use excludes some projects
- Maintenance (non-observing) costs appear to be independent of classical/robotic usage

Upgrades

More flexible and general scheduling system

Development limited by funding opportunities

- Ideal tool is a just-in time scheduler based on a fuzzy-logic based system.
- Off-axis guider
 - System completed after 10+ years of effort

Classical modes work now

Robotic modes require new control methodology



Results

Deep Ecliptic Survey

- NOAO Survey Program to search for Kuiper Belt objects along the ecliptic
- Search data using Mosaic camera at Cerro Tololo and Kitt Peak on the 4-m telescopes
- Photometric zero-point calibration relegated to smaller facilities
- Calibration observations began in 2001, completed in 2010, on robotic system

213,272 sources calibrated in V and R; 54,472 sources measured on three or more nights.

Positions of Sources Observed







Distribution of Uncertainties in Catalog



Extinction History



V and R extinction comparison



Color term history



Zero-point history



